

EXHIBIT – A

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
7 IN AND FOR KING COUNTY
8 AT KENT

9 THERESE BEEMSTER,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., and
13 SCHILLER GROUNDS CARE, INC.,
14 and JOHN DOE,

15 Defendants.

16 NO. 20-2-13471-6

17 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

18 Plaintiff THERESE BEEMSTER (a/k/a Tracy Beemster, hereinafter "plaintiff" or "Ms.
19 Beemster"), for cause of action against defendants alleges as follows:

20 **Parties**

21 1. At all relevant times plaintiff was a single woman residing in Bothell, King
22 County, Washington. On September 17, 2017, she rented a Little Wonder Hedge Trimmer from
23 a Home Depot store in Bothell, Washington, and then used it briefly at her home in Bothell
24 before driving to property she owned on Mercer Island, Washington, where she proceeded to
25 begin to trim a hedge on that property. Shortly after beginning that work, she was injured as
more fully set forth in paragraph 6 below.

26 2. On information and belief, defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (hereinafter called
27 "Home Depot"), is a national company with headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia, that is licensed to
28 do business in Washington State and, in fact, does business in Washington, notably operating a

1 retail store in Bothell, Washington, among many other locations, where it sells and rents tools,
2 hardware, and a host of home improvement and outdoor items.

3 3. On information and belief, defendant Schiller Grounds Care, Inc. (hereinafter
4 "Schiller"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Pennsylvania
5 and has its headquarters in Pennsylvania. Schiller operates a yard-care and landscaping company
6 and manufactures equipment for those purposes, including the Little Wonder Hedge Trimmer
7 like the one used by plaintiff on the day of her accident. On information and belief Schiller sold
8 or leased the trimmer involved in plaintiff's accident to Home Depot with an expectation that the
9 equipment would be used by consumers in the State of Washington and other states.

10 4. On information and belief, plaintiff asserts that an unidentified person or entity
11 who for purposes of this complaint, pending further investigation and discovery, is identified as
12 defendant John Doe, may have some responsibility for damaging the trimmer through misuse,
13 before Ms. Beemster used it, and failing to report the consequence of that misuse to Home Depot
14 before it rented the trimmer to Ms. Beemster. If and when such person(s) and/or entity are
15 identified, the pleadings will be amended, as necessary and appropriate.

Jurisdiction and Venue

17 5. This Court has jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims pursuant to RCW 2.08.010, and
18 venue is proper in this court by virtue of RCW 4.12.020(3) and/or RCW 4.12.025.

The Accident

20 6. On the day of her accident, September 17, 2017, plaintiff had just begun to trim a
21 hedge at her Mercer Island property. To facilitate the job, she was using a small stepladder as
22 she worked her way down the length of the hedge. Just before the accident she had briefly
23 trimmed a portion of the hedge that was just high enough above the ground that plaintiff thought,
24 for safety purposes and ease of seeing what she was doing, justified her standing on the first rung
25 of the ladder. She released the throttle on the trimmer, which she understood would leave the

1 trimmer in idle without movement of the blade, and stepped down to move the ladder. After
 2 moving the ladder, she stood on the first rung and reached for the handle of the trimmer that had
 3 partially rolled over. She did not realize that the blade was still moving, and in reaching for the
 4 handle her right hand came in contact with the blade, which nearly cut off the top portion of her
 5 right ring finger, which remained attached to the rest of the finger by a small thread of skin, and
 6 cut severely her right index finger. Neighbors called 911 for her, and members of the Mercer
 7 Island Fire Department took her to Harborview Medical Center where she was seen by an
 8 emergency physician and treated. She was told that there was no way to save the portion of her
 9 right ring finger that was barely attached, and a doctor cut the thread.

10 7. On September 18, 2017, the day after the accident, Ms. Beemster returned the
 11 hedge trimmer to Home Depot in Bothell and filed an accident report. After explaining the
 12 accident to the gentleman who had waited on her the day before when she rented the trimmer, a
 13 man named Barry, Barry turned on the trimmer and then released the trigger/throttle but noted
 14 that the blade kept moving. He said it was "no bueno," and a woman employee who was there
 15 promised plaintiff that the trimmer would be taken out of service.

16 8. At all relevant times, plaintiff exercised reasonable care in her use of the trimmer.
 17 She had extensive prior experience herself using similar trimmers and had in the past co-owned
 18 her own small landscape business for a period of time. She was without fault in this accident.

19 9. Since the accident plaintiff has suffered significantly from physical pain, distress,
 20 and embarrassment over the appearance of her right ring finger, from both her own distress at
 21 seeing it and from her realization that the attention of many people with whom she interacts on a
 22 daily basis is drawn to the finger when they are talking to her. For a period of time it was very
 23 painful from a physical standpoint, as were the cuts inflicted on her right index finger, but the
 24 most significant damage has resulted from her reaction to her finger's appearance and the
 25 realization that the appearance is likely permanent, as well as the fact that the appearance of the
 finger is a constant reminder of the traumatic incident itself.

Negligence Claim against Home Depot

10. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates herein, as if fully set forth in this section, the allegations of paragraphs 1-9 above.

4 11. At all relevant times, defendant Home Depot owed plaintiff the duty to exercise
5 reasonable care under the circumstances to inspect the trimmer that it rented to her and to make
6 such repairs as seemed prudent before rental or to provide another safe trimmer for her use.
7 Pending further investigation, on information and belief, plaintiff alleges that Home Depot
8 breached that duty by failing to adequately inspect and maintain the subject Little Wonder Hedge
9 Trimmer before renting it to her to ensure that it was safe for use. Pending further investigation
10 and discovery, plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Home Depot had before her
11 accident either actual or constructive notice of the dangerous condition that caused her injuries in
12 this case.

12, Home Depot's breach of its aforesaid duty proximately caused injuries and
damages to plaintiff as previously described.

Res Ipsa Loquitur

16 13. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates herein, as if fully set forth in this
17 section, the allegations of paragraphs 1-9 and 10-12 above.

18 14. If further investigation fails to reveal specific acts or omissions of negligence on
19 Home Depot's part, on information and belief plaintiff alleges that Home Depot is still liable to
20 plaintiff for her aforesaid damages by the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur* because Home Depot had
21 just prior to her use of the Little Wonder Hedge Trimmer exclusive control of the trimmer and a
22 duty to inspect it and ensure it was safe for plaintiff's use, and because plaintiff was herself
23 without fault of any kind and did not cause or contribute to the accident in any way, and the
24 accident is such as does not occur normally if the person or entity in control has exercised
25 ordinary care. Plaintiff therefore alleges that Home Depot must have been negligent in a way

1 that caused the accident, even in the absence of proof of specific wrongdoing, and that such
 2 negligence proximately caused plaintiff's injuries and damages as previously described.

Contract Claim Against Home Depot

4 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates herein, as if fully set forth in this
 5 section, the allegations of paragraphs 1-9 above.

6 16. At the time of rental of the equipment, plaintiff signed a contract written by or for
 7 Home Depot. Under the General Terms and Conditions of the Tool Rental Agreement, Home
 8 Depot was responsible under the provisions of paragraph 1 to provide to Customer the listed
 9 equipment "in good working condition." The trimmer was not in good working condition, and
 10 accordingly Home Depot breached the contract and is responsible for the proximately resulting
 11 injuries and damages outlined above.

Product Claim Against Schiller

13 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates herein, as if fully set forth in this
 14 section, the allegations of paragraphs 1-9 above.

15 18. On information and belief, Schiller designed, manufactured, distributed, leased,
 16 and/or sold to Home Depot or an unknown intermediary the Little Wonder Hedge Trimmer
 17 involved in plaintiff's accident. As an alternative allegation to plaintiff's allegations of
 18 negligence against Home Depot and John Doe (see below as to John Doe), pending further
 19 investigation and discovery, plaintiff alleges that the subject trimmer was not damaged or
 20 changed in any way after leaving Schiller's control and broke or malfunctioned, proximately
 21 causing injury and damages to Ms. Beemster due to design or manufacturing defect for which
 22 Schiller is strictly liable, and that Schiller is liable for all injuries and damages suffered by
 23 plaintiff as described above.

Negligence Claim Against John Doe

24 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates herein, as if fully set forth in this
 25 section, the allegations of paragraphs 1-9 above.

1 20. On information and belief, there may have been a person or business entity that
2 asserted control over the subject Little Wonder Hedge Trimmer before it was rented to plaintiff,
3 including someone to whom the trimmer had been previously rented and who misused the
4 trimmer or found it defective or dysfunctional in some way and yet failed to advise Home Depot
5 of such issues on returning the trimmer to Home Depot. Such person or entity owed plaintiff and
6 all other potential renters a duty to exercise reasonable care not to damage the machine and to
7 report to Home Depot any defects or damage caused by or even observed by said person or
8 business entity.

9 21. Pending further investigation and discovery, plaintiff alleges that such person or
10 entity breached the aforesaid duty of care and proximately caused or contributed to plaintiff's
11 accident and her injuries and damages by acts or omissions relating to the hedge trimmer and
12 failing to report safety concerns to Home Depot on return of the property.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. For judgment of joint and several liability against defendants Home Depot and/or Schiller and/or John Doe;
 - B. For an award of general and special damages as set forth above and proved for plaintiff's losses and physical, mental, and emotional personal injuries;
 - C. For prejudgment interest and statutory and reasonable attorney fees and costs in such amounts as the court may deem proper and just; and
 - D. For such other and further relief as the court may deem appropriate.

DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 3rd day of September 2020.

LAW OFFICE OF JAMES E. WHITEHEAD

s/ James F. Whitehead

James F. Whitehead, WSBA# 6319
Attorney for Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 6

Law Office of James F. Whitehead
2211 Elliott Ave., Suite 200
Seattle, WA 98121
(206) 448-0100
Fax (206) 455-2701